arXiv:1606.06923v2 [math.NT] 23 Jan 2017

NEAR COUNTEREXAMPLES TO WEIL'S CONVERSE THEOREM

RAPHAEL S. STEINER

Abstract. We show that in Weil's converse theorem the functional equations of multiplicative twists for at least the first $\sqrt{\frac{p-24}{3}}$ moduli are needed in order to prove the modularity for $\Gamma_0(p)$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ act on the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$ by Möbius-transformations. That is, we define as usual

$$\gamma z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \text{ for } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

For such a matrix we further define the cocycle $j(\gamma, z) = cz + d$ and an action on the holomorphic functions $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ on the upper-half-plane as $(f|_k\gamma)(z) = j(\gamma, z)^{-k} f(\gamma z)$. For our convenience let us denote the following special matrices

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad W_N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ N^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $(a_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}, (b_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two complex sequences that satisfy $|a_m|, |b_m| = O(m^\sigma)$ for some $\sigma > 0$, where we follow Landau's big O convention. Associated to these sequences we define the two holomorphic functions on the upper half-plane

$$f(z) = \sum_{m\geq 1} a_m e(mz) \text{ and } g(z) = \sum_{m\geq 1} b_m e(mz),$$

where $e(z) = e^{2\pi i z}$. Let us further define their associated Dirichlet series and completions thereof

$$L(f,s) = \sum_{m\geq 1} a_m m^{-s}, \qquad L(g,s) = \sum_{m\geq 1} b_m m^{-s},$$

$$\Lambda(f,s) = (2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L(f,s), \quad \Lambda(g,s) = (2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L(g,s),$$

where $\Gamma(s)$ is the Euler Gamma function. These are *a priori* only defined for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \sigma+1$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, Hecke [2] proved the equivalence of the following two statements.

(i) f, g are cusp forms of weight k for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and $(f|_k T)(z) = g(z)$.
(ii) The functions $\Lambda(f,s)$ and $\Lambda(g,s)$ admit a holomorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, are bounded in any vertical strip and satisfy the functional equation

$$\Lambda(f,s) = i^k \Lambda(g, k-s).$$

An equivalent statement for

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \middle| \, N|c \right\}$$

is much harder. Especially the implication going from the functional equations to proving that f and g must be cusp forms requires more information. Weil [6] resolved this issue by assuming further functional equations coming from multiplicative twists. For this

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F66 (11F11).
Key words and phrases. Converse Theorem, Modular Forms, Multiplier System.

define for a primitive character ψ modulo q with $(q,N)=1$ the Dirichlet series and their respective completions

$$L(f,\psi,s)=\sum_{m\geq 1}a_m\psi(m)m^{-s},\qquad L(g,\psi,s)=\sum_{m\geq 1}b_m\psi(m)m^{-s},$$
$$\Lambda(f,\psi,s)=(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L(f,\psi,s),\quad \Lambda(g,\psi,s)=(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L(g,\psi,s).$$

Assume the holomorphic continuation of $\Lambda(f,\psi,s),\Lambda(g,\psi,s)$ to the whole complex plane and boundedness in any vertical strip for every primitive character ψ modulo q with $(q,MN)=1$, where M is some fixed integer. Furthermore assume all the functional equations

$$\Lambda(f,\psi,s)=i^k\chi(q)\psi(N)\frac{\tau(\psi)^2}{q}(Nq^2)^{\frac{k}{2}-s}\Lambda(g,\overline{\psi},k-s),$$

for some fixed Dirchlet character $\chi \bmod(N)$, where $\tau(\psi)$ is the Gauss sum of ψ. In this case Weil [6] was able to prove that f respectively g are cusp forms of weight k for $\Gamma_0(N)$ with character χ respectively $\overline{\chi}$ and $(f|_kW_N)(z)=g(z)$. Khoai [3] later refined the number of functional equations of twists needed. He proved that the primitive twists ψ of modulus $q<N^2$ with $(q,N)=1$ suffice to come to the same conclusion. Moreover if $N=p^r$ is a power of a prime, then already $q<p^r$ suffices. In this paper we are able to show that for $N=p$ a prime, then one needs at least the multiplicative twists for the first $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+o(1))\sqrt{p}$ moduli.

Theorem 1. *Let $p\geq 29$ be a prime, χ an even Dirichlet character modulo p and $k\geq 16$ an even integer. There exists two complex sequences $(a_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}},(b_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $|a_m|,|b_m|=O(m^\sigma)$ for some $\sigma>0$ which satisfies the following two properties:*

(i) Both $f(z)=\sum_{m\geq 1}a_me(mz)$ and $g(z)=\sum_{m\geq 1}b_me(mz)\notin\bigcup_{l,N}S_l(\Gamma(N))$, that is to say f and g are not classical cusp forms of any weight for any congruence subgroup,

(ii) for any primitive character ψ modulo q with $(q,p)=1$ the functions $\Lambda(f,\psi,s),\Lambda(g,\psi,s)$ can be holomorphically continued to the whole complex plane, are bounded in any vertical strip and satisfying the functional equations

$$\Lambda(f,\psi,s)=i^k\chi(q)\psi(p)\frac{\tau(\psi)^2}{q}(pq^2)^{\frac{k}{2}-s}\Lambda(g,\overline{\psi},k-s),\quad \forall q\leq\sqrt{\frac{p-24}{3}}.$$

In the context of converse theorems for classical modular forms it is more natural to look at additive twists rather than multiplicative ones. For this purpose we define for $(a,q)=1$:

$$L\left(f,\frac{a}{q},s\right)=\sum_{m\geq 1}a_me\left(\frac{am}{q}\right)m^{-s},\qquad L\left(g,\frac{a}{q},s\right)=\sum_{m\geq 1}b_me\left(\frac{am}{q}\right)m^{-s},$$
$$\Lambda\left(f,\frac{a}{q},s\right)=(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L\left(f,\frac{a}{q},s\right),\quad \Lambda\left(g,\frac{a}{q},s\right)=(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L\left(g,\frac{a}{q},s\right).$$

For additive twists we are able to give the following converse theorem for prime level p.

Theorem 2. *Let $p>3$ be a prime. There exist a (computable) set $\mathcal{Q}$ of $2\lfloor\frac{p}{12}\rfloor+3$ natural numbers $1\leq q\leq p-2$ for which the following holds. Given any two complex sequences $(a_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $|a_m|,|b_m|=O(m^\sigma)$ for some $\sigma>0$, then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(i) $f(z)=\sum_{m\geq 1}a_me(mz)$ is a cusp form of weight k for $\Gamma_0(p)$ with character χ and $(f|_kW_p)(z)=g(z)=\sum_{m\geq 1}b_me(mz)$,

(ii) The functions $\Lambda(f, \frac{-1}{q}, s)$ *and* $\Lambda(g, \frac{(qq_\star+1)/p}{q}, s)$*, where* $1 \leq q_\star \leq p$ *and satisfies* $qq_\star \equiv -1 \bmod(p)$*, for every* $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ *can be holomorphically continued to the whole complex plane and are bounded in every vertical strip. Moreover they satisfy the functional equations*

$$\Lambda\left(f, \frac{-1}{q}, s\right) = i^k \chi(q)(pq^2)^{\frac{k}{2}-s} \Lambda\left(g, \frac{(qq_\star+1)/p}{q}, k-s\right), \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Remark 3. The number of functional equations of additive twists to go back from *(ii)* to *(i)* in Theorem 2 is essentially optimal as one can construct counterexamples similar to the proof of Theorem 1 if one assumes at most $2\lfloor \frac{p}{12} \rfloor - 2$ additive twists.

Note that this further strengthens Khoai's result, since by using Gauss sums one may reduce down to about $p/6$ moduli for which one needs to consider multiplicative twists.

The proof of Theorem 2 is straightforward and relies on Hecke's converse theorem [2] and on a result of Rademacher [4] on the generators of $\Gamma_0(p)$. The proof of Theorem 1 however relies on the interesting observation that the modularity of $|f|$ for a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ does not always imply the modularity of f on some congruence subgroup $\Gamma(N)$, despite this being the case for the full modular group $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ where it would follow that f is modular on $\Gamma(12)$. In fact for $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(p)$ with $p \to \infty$ there is a vast amount of freedom which we shall exploit.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Andrew Booker for asking me the question whether the modularity of $|f|$ implies the modularity of f, which eventually led to the above observation, and for interesting discussions as well as useful comments on earlier versions of this paper.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

Let Γ be a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ that contains $-I$. We call a character $\upsilon : \Gamma \to S^1$ that satisfies $\upsilon(-I) = (-1)^k$ a multiplier system for Γ. We call a holomorphic function f on the upper half plane modular of weight k for Γ with respect to υ if it satisfies $(f|_k\gamma)(z) = \upsilon(\gamma)f(z)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Such a function f has an expansion of the shape

$$(f|_k\tau)(z) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m e\left(\frac{m+\kappa_\tau}{n_\tau} z\right)$$

for every $\tau \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where n_τ is the width of the cusp $\tau\infty$ and κ_τ is the cusp parameter at the cusp $\tau\infty$. They are both independent of the choice of representative of $\tau\infty \bmod(\Gamma)$. The former is characterised by being the smallest natural number n such that $\tau S^n \tau^{-1} \in \Gamma$ and the latter is characterised by $e(\kappa_\tau) = \upsilon(\tau S^{n_\tau}\tau^{-1})$ and $\kappa_\tau \in [0, 1)$. We say f is a modular form of weight k for Γ with respect to υ if we can restrict the summation to $m + \kappa_\tau \geq 0$ for every $\tau \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and moreover we say f is a cusp form of weight k for Γ with respect to υ if the summation can be restricted to $m + \kappa_\tau > 0$ for every $\tau \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. For a more detailed treatment on modular forms (of arbitrary real weight) with respect to an arbitrary multiplier system we refer the reader to [5].

From now on let k denote an even integer and let $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(p)$, where $p > 3$ is a prime. The multiplier systems for $\Gamma_0(p)$ include all even Dirichlet characters $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \to S^1$; they are given by

$$\upsilon_\chi(\gamma) = \chi(d) \text{ if } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p),$$

but in fact there are many more multiplier systems. They are in one-to-one correspondence with group homomorphisms

$$\upsilon : \Gamma_0(p) \Big/ \pm[\Gamma_0(p), \Gamma_0(p)] \to S^1.$$

We can characterize this quotient completely by a theorem of Rademacher [4].

Theorem 4 (Rademacher)**.** *Let $p > 3$ be a prime, then we have*

$$\Gamma_0(p)\Big/_{\pm I} \cong F_{l-2a-2b} * (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^a * (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^b,$$

where $l = 2\lfloor \frac{p}{12} \rfloor + 3$, $a = 0$ unless $p \equiv 1 \bmod(4)$ in which case $a = 1$ and $b = 0$ unless $p \equiv 1 \bmod(3)$ in which case $b = 1$ and $F_{l-2a-2b}$ is the free group with $l-2a-2b$ generators. The isomorphism comes from a set of free generators of $\Gamma_0(p)/\{\pm I\}$, which are given by S and some matrices of the shape

$$V_q = \begin{pmatrix} -q_\star & -1 \\ qq_\star + 1 & q \end{pmatrix},$$

with $2 \leq q \leq p-2$.

As a corollary we find

Corollary 5. *Let $p > 3$ be a prime, then we have*

$$\Gamma_0(p)\Big/_{\pm[\Gamma_0(p),\Gamma_0(p)]} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{l-2a-2b} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2a} \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{2b},$$

where $l = 2\lfloor \frac{p}{12} \rfloor + 3$, $a = 0$ unless $p \equiv 1 \bmod(4)$ in which case $a = 1$ and $b = 0$ unless $p \equiv 1 \bmod(3)$ in which case $b = 1$.

Thus when p becomes large we get plenty of freedom, which we may use to satisfy certain equations.

3. Proof of the Theorems

Let $f(z) = \sum_{m>0} a_m e(mz)$ be a cusp form of even weight $k > 0$ with respect to a multiplier system υ on $\Gamma_0(p)$ with cusp parameters $\kappa_I = \kappa_T = 0$ and let us denote

$$g(z) = (f|_k W_p)(z) = \sum_{m>0} b_m e(mz),$$

which is another cusp form with respect to a conjugated multiplier system of υ.

Remark 6. The assumption on κ_T is necessary as the example $p = 13$ shows, since there one has $TS^{13}T^{-1} = V_{10}^{-2}V_8^{-1}V_5^{-1}V_4^{-2}S^{-1}$. Although it is interesting to note that given $\kappa_I = 0$ then $\upsilon(TS^pT^{-1})$ is always a 6-th root of unity and if $p \equiv 11 \bmod(12)$ then it is equal to 1. This can be seen from the relation $TS^pT^{-1} = V_{p-1}S^{-1}$ and going through Rademacher's elimination process [4].

Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $q \neq p$ and $0 \leq a < q$ with $(a,q) = 1$. Let B, D be two integers that satisfy the relation $qD + apB = 1$, which has solutions by Bézout. We have the matrix identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{a}{q} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D & a \\ -pB & q \end{pmatrix} W_p \begin{pmatrix} p^{\frac{1}{2}}B & p^{-\frac{1}{2}}q^{-1} \\ -p^{\frac{1}{2}}q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus we get the identity

$$\left(f\Big|_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{a}{q} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)(z) = \upsilon\left(\begin{pmatrix} D & a \\ -pB & q \end{pmatrix}\right)\left(g\Big|_k \begin{pmatrix} p^{\frac{1}{2}}B & p^{-\frac{1}{2}}q^{-1} \\ -p^{\frac{1}{2}}q & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)(z). \tag{1}$$

By definition this is just

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m>0} a_m e\left(\frac{am}{q}\right) e(mz) \\ &\qquad = (-1)^k \upsilon\left(\begin{pmatrix} D & a \\ -pB & q \end{pmatrix}\right) p^{-\frac{k}{2}} q^{-k} z^{-k} \sum_{m>0} b_m e\left(-\frac{Bm}{q}\right) e\left(-\frac{m}{pq^2 z}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Now $f|_k\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & a/q\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $g|_k\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & -B/q\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ are modular forms on $\Gamma(pq^2)$ for some multiplier system, respectively, and thus by Hecke's original proof $\Lambda(f, \frac{a}{q}, s)$ and $\Lambda(g, \frac{-B}{q}, s)$ have a holomorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and are bounded in every vertical strip. Now by Bochner [1] the modular relation (2) is equivalent to the functional equation

$$\Lambda\left(f, \frac{a}{q}, s\right) = i^k \upsilon\left(\begin{pmatrix} D & a\\ -pB & q\end{pmatrix}\right)(pq^2)^{\frac{k}{2}-s}\Lambda\left(g, \frac{-B}{q}, k-s\right). \tag{3}$$

This shows one direction in Theorem 2. For the other direction we need to fix our set $\mathcal{Q}$. We take it to consist of 1 and those q for which V_q is needed to generate $\Gamma_0(p) / \pm I$ in Theorem 4. We make use of the equivalence of (3) and (1), where $\upsilon\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} D & a\\ -pB & q\end{smallmatrix}\right)\right)$ is to be regarded as any fixed constant of modulus 1. We first set $(a, q, B, D) = (-1, 1, -1, 1-p)$ and make use of the functional equation for $1 \in \mathcal{Q}$. This yields

$$\left(f\Big|_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\right)(z) = \chi(1)\left(g\Big|_k \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix} W_p\right)(z)$$

or equivalently $f(z) = (g|_k W_p)(z)$ and consequently $(f|_k W_p)(z) = g(z)$ after applying $|_k W_p$ to both sides. We then further find that (1) is equivalent to

$$\left(f\Big|_k \begin{pmatrix} D & a\\ -pB & q\end{pmatrix}\right)(z) = \upsilon\left(\begin{pmatrix} D & a\\ -pB & q\end{pmatrix}\right)(g|_k W_p)(z).$$

Using this with $(a, q, B, D) = (1, q, -(qq_\star + 1)/p, -q_\star)$, where $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, and the functional equation for q we find

$$\left(f\Big|_k \begin{pmatrix} -q_\star & 1\\ qq_\star + 1 & q\end{pmatrix}\right)(z) = \chi(q) f(z).$$

Combining this with Theorem 4 and the trivial fact that $(f|_k S)(z) = f(z)$ we find that f is modular of weight k for $\Gamma_0(p)$ with character χ. The fact that f is indeed a cusp form comes from the expansions at the cusps ∞ and 0 which are given by the definition of f respectively g.

Now onto the proof of Theorem 1. Let υ, f and g be defined as in the beginning of this section. The restriction that $\kappa_I = \kappa_T = 0$ implies that the dependence on B in both

$$\upsilon\left(\begin{pmatrix} D & a\\ -pB & q\end{pmatrix}\right) \text{ and } \Lambda\left(g, \frac{-B}{q}, s\right)$$

is only on $B \bmod (q)$ (and thus only depends on q and $a \bmod q$). We further make the assumption that

$$\upsilon\left(\begin{pmatrix} D & a\\ -pB & q\end{pmatrix}\right) = \chi(q)$$

for $(a, q) = 1$ and $1 \le q \le Q$, where χ is a Dirichlet character modulo p. That is to say we want υ to pretend to be the Dirichlet character χ for small values of q.

Let now ψ be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo q with $(q,p)=1$ and $1\leq q\leq Q$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(f,\psi,s)&=\frac{1}{\tau(\overline{\psi})}\sum_{a \bmod(q)}{}' \overline{\psi}(a)\Lambda\left(f,\frac{a}{q},s\right)\\&=\frac{i^k\chi(q)}{\tau(\overline{\psi})}(pq^2)^{\frac{k}{2}-s}\sum_{a \bmod(q)}{}' \overline{\psi}(a)\Lambda\left(g,\frac{-\overline{ap}}{q},k-s\right)\\&=\frac{i^k\chi(q)\psi(-p)}{\tau(\overline{\psi})}(pq^2)^{\frac{k}{2}-s}\sum_{a \bmod(q)}{}' \psi(-\overline{ap})\Lambda\left(g,\frac{-\overline{ap}}{q},k-s\right)\\&=i^k\chi(q)\psi(p)\frac{\tau(\psi)^2}{q}(pq^2)^{\frac{k}{2}-s}\Lambda(g,\overline{\psi},k-s),\end{aligned}$$

where the prime in the summation denotes that we are only summing over $(a,q)=1$ and $\overline{a}$ denotes the multiplicative inverse of $a \bmod(q)$. This is the functional equation we would expect for classical modular forms with multiplier system υ_χ. In order to prove Theorem 1 we construct such a multiplier system υ of infinite order as such a multiplier system cannot be trivial on any congruence subgroup. We furthermore have to show that there is a non-zero cusp form with respect to that multiplier system for some weight k.

Our assumptions on our multiplier system form a system of linear equations with at most $2+Q^2/2$ equations in $\log\upsilon(\gamma)$, where γ runs over our generators in Corollary 5, moreover this system of equations admits a solution, namely υ_χ. Thus if $2+Q^2/2+5\leq 2\lfloor\frac{p}{12}\rfloor+3$ or $Q\leq\sqrt{(p-24)/3}$ we find that the kernel has dimension at least 5. Thus we can find an element υ' of infinite order in the kernel which satisfies $\log\upsilon'(\gamma)=0$ for any generator γ of finite order and hence $\upsilon=\upsilon'\upsilon_\chi$ is a multiplier system which satisfies our requirements. The construction of a non-zero cusp form is now straightforward. As in [5] one can construct Eisenstein series for $k>2$, which are non-zero, say for example $G_I(\Gamma_0(p),4,\upsilon j^k;z,0)$, and multiply it with the cusp form $\Delta(z)$ of weight 12 for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ to get a cusp form of weight $k+12$ with respect to υ on $\Gamma_0(p)$, which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

[1] Salomon Bochner. Some properties of modular relations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 53:332–363, 1951.

[2] Erich Hecke. Über die Bestimmung Dirichletscher Reihen durch ihre Funktionalgleichung. *Math. Ann.*, 112(1):664–699, 1936.

[3] Ha Huy Khoai. Sur les séries L associées aux formes modularies. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 120(1):1–13, 1992.

[4] Hans Rademacher. Über die Erzeugenden von Kongruenzuntergruppen der Modulgruppe. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 7(1):134–148, 1929.

[5] Robert A. Rankin. *Modular forms and functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York-Melbourne, 1977.

[6] André Weil. Über die Bestimmung Dirichletscher Reihen durch Funktionalgleichungen. *Math. Ann.*, 168:149–156, 1967.

Department of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TW, UK

E-mail address: raphael.steiner@bristol.ac.uk